

THOMAS E. MULVIHILL, ESQ. (SBN 129906)
TAMIKO A. DUNHAM, ESQ. (SBN 233455)
ROSEANNE C. LAZZAROTTO, ESQ. (SBN 251001)
BOORNAZIAN, JENSEN & GARTHE
A Professional Corporation
555 12th Street, Suite 1800
Oakland, CA 94607
Telephone: (510) 834-4350
Facsimile: (510) 839-1897

Attorneys for Plaintiff,
ESURANCE INSURANCE COMPANY, a Wisconsin corporation

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ESURANCE INSURANCE COMPANY, a)	Case No.: 3:15-cv-00862-RS
Wisconsin corporation,)	
)	
)	PROPOSED ORDER REGARDING
Plaintiff,)	AUTHENTICITY OF DOCUMENTS
v.)	
)	
WESTCHESTER FIRE INSURANCE)	The Hon. Richard Seeborg
COMPANY, a Pennsylvania corporation;)	Action Filed: February 25, 2015
STEADFAST INSURANCE COMPANY, a)	
Delaware corporation; and WILLIAM)	
GALLAGHER ASSOCIATES INSURANCE)	
BROKERS, INC., a Massachusetts)	
corporation,)	
)	
<u>Defendants.</u>)	

THE COURT, having considered the Stipulation of the Parties and good cause appearing, orders as follows:

1. Documents produced to a party to this action by another party or by any non-party business entity in response to compulsory process (e.g., subpoena, a document request served upon a party pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 34, or otherwise) in connection with the parties' investigation of the circumstances underlying this action are deemed authentic for the purposes of this action only, absent good cause. Good cause would include issues relating to the completeness of the document (e.g., missing or incomplete pages)

1 or any conditions in the actual document or the manner in which it was produced that bring into question
2 whether the document was actually generated by the relevant party or non-party business entity.

3 2. Documents produced to a party to this action by another party or by any non-party business
4 entity in response to compulsory process (e.g., subpoena, a document request served upon a party pursuant
5 to Fed. R. Civ. P. 34, or otherwise) in connection with the parties' investigation of the circumstances
6 underlying this action shall be deemed to satisfy the evidentiary foundation requirements for
7 admissibility, including under Fed. R. Evid Rule 803 (6), for the purposes of this lawsuit only,
8 absent good cause and subject to all other evidentiary objections to admissibility of the documents,
9 all of which other objections are retained by the parties. Good cause would include circumstances
10 under which the source of the information featured in the document or the circumstances of its
11 preparation indicate a lack of trustworthiness.

12
13 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

14
15 DATED: 9/14, 2016

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Richard Seeborg", is written over a horizontal line.

16
17 THE HONORABLE RICHARD SEEBORG
18 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28